Lecture 3 ENEE 664 Spring 2004 P. S. Kushaprasad Adjoint equations For the homogeneous system x(t) = A(t)x(t), we have there associated adjuint system $\dot{p}(t) = - A'(t)p(t)$. From $d(p'(t)x(t)) = p(t)x(t) + p(t)\dot{x}(t)$ = (-A'(t)p(t))' x(t) + p'(t) (A(t)x(t))it follows that p'(t) x(t) = p'(to) x(to) + t. Moreover, writing p(t) = = = (t, to) p(to) we get $p(t_o) = (t_i, t_o) = (t_i, t_o) \times (t_o) = p(t_o) \times (t_o) + t$ Since this is true for arbitrary X(to), \$(to) it follows that, + t $\frac{\Phi}{-A'}(t,t_0) = \frac{\Phi}{-A'}(t_0,t)$ ~> + +. we also have a corollary; this From $\frac{d}{dt} \stackrel{\overline{\Phi}}{\xrightarrow{A}} (t_o, t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\stackrel{\overline{\Phi}}{\xrightarrow{A'}} (t, t_o) \right)$ $= - \underbrace{\overline{4}}_{-A'}(t,t_{\bullet}) A (t)$ $= - \oint_{A} (t_0 t) A (t)$ Z _1_

Canonical Equations Consider the limear time-varying system (canonical equations) $\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_{(t)} \\ \dot{p}_{(t)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A(t) & -B(t) B(t) \\ -L(t) & -A'(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ p(t) \end{pmatrix}$ (C) wohning on TR²ⁿ. (Assume L(t) = L(t)). Let H(t, x, p) denote the function $H: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $(t, z, p) \longmapsto H(t, z, p)$ $= \frac{1}{2} x L(t) x + p A(t) x$ - 1 = B(+) B(+) + Define the gradient of H, $\nabla H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}$. $\nabla H = \begin{pmatrix} L(t) & A'(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$. $\nabla H = \begin{pmatrix} A(t) & -B(t)B(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$ We call them recorsite the given system (C) in the form $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{p} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{J} \, \mathbf{v} \mathbf{H} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{d} \\ \mathbf{d} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$ is a skew-symmetric invertible matrix. Along trajectories of (C) the derivative of H as.r.t. time can be computed using chain rule: $\frac{dH}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{i}} \dot{x_{i}} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \dot{p_{i}}$ $= \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + (\nabla H) \dot{(\dot{x})} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + (\nabla H) J \nabla H$

Since I is skew, the second term on the right is identically zero. If further, the parameters A, B, L are time-invariant, there 2# =0 and d#=0 => H = contant. What are consumcal equations (E) good for ? For one thing, so hing Riccati equations. Leuma 1 Let I (t, to) denote the 2nx2h transition matrix for (C). Partition into blocks: $\overline{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\Phi}_{H} & \overline{\Phi}_{I2} \\ \overline{\Phi}_{21} & \overline{\Phi}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ of size hxh. Then: (a) If $\Pi(t, a, t_1) = (\underline{\Phi}_{22}(t, \underline{t}) - Q \underline{\Phi}_{12}(t_1, t))_{f}$ or equivelently, if $(Q \overline{\Phi}_{ii}(t_i, t) - \overline{\Phi}(t_i, t))$ (4) $\overline{\Pi}(t,Q,t_1) = (\overline{\Phi}(t,t_1) + \overline{\Phi}(t_1,t_1)Q)(\overline{\Phi}_{H}(t_1,t_1) + \overline{\Phi}(t_1,t_1)Q)^{-1}$ then Tilty, Q, ty) = Q and, TI satisfies the Riccati equation, TT = - ATT -TTA + TT BB'TT -L anwing that the indicated incersos exist. $\frac{Prosf:}{Let, [X(t), -P(t)]} \triangleq [Q, -1] \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\Psi}_{11}(t_{1}, t) & \overline{\Psi}_{12}(t_{1}, t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \boxed{\overline{\Psi}_{21}(t_{1}, t)} & \overline{\Psi}_{22}(t_{1}, t) \end{bmatrix}$ clearly, in part (a) above, the r.h.s = -P-'(t) X(t).

To verify that TI (t, Q, t,) = P'(t) X(t) Satisfies the Riccati Equation, differentiate. $\frac{d(P' \times)}{dt} = -P' \dot{P} P' \times + P' \dot{X}$ Trom the definition of IX PJ we see $[x, -P] = [Q, -1] \stackrel{d}{=} \stackrel{f}{=} \stackrel{$ $= [Q, -1] \stackrel{-1}{\underset{T_t}{\overset{-1}{\overset{}}}{\overset{1}}}{\overset{1}}{\overset{1}}{\overset{1}}{\overset{1}}{\overset{1}}{\overset{1}}{\overset{1}$ = $[Q, -1] (- \overline{\Phi} (t, t_i)) d\overline{\Phi} (t, t_i) \overline{\Phi} (t, t_i)$ $= -[Q, -1] \underline{\Phi}(t_{i}, t) \begin{pmatrix} A(t_{i}) & -B(t_{i}) \\ -L(t_{i}) & -A'(t_{i}) \end{pmatrix}$ $= - [X, -P] \begin{pmatrix} A & -BB' \\ -L & -A' \end{pmatrix}$ A - PL $\Rightarrow \dot{x} = -xA - PL$ $\vec{P} = - \times BB' + PA'$ $= \frac{1}{4} \left(p^{-1} x \right) = - p^{-1} \left(- x B B' + P A' \right) p^{-1} x + p^{-1} (- x A - P A') p^{-1$ = P'X BB'P'X - A' P'X - P'X A - L abich is what we set out to prove. the $P'(t) \times (t) = Q$ since $\overline{\Phi}(t_1, t_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ $t = t_1$ clearly, in part (6) chove r.h.s = P(c) X(2) - 1. Rest of the steps similar to the steps in part (a) proof. D

Romark Matrices of the form $P = \begin{pmatrix} A & Q \\ R & -A' \end{pmatrix}$ where each of the blocks is han and Q=Q' R=R' are called infinitesimally symplectic or hamiltonian matrices. They satisfy the identity. PJ + JP = 0 Necessary conditions for Optimality Theoreme For the system x(t) = A(t) x(t) + B(t) u(t) x(to) = xo, let u(t) be one of the following controls (a) M(t) = - B(t) I (tot) & where & satisfies $\mathcal{W}(t_0, t_1) = \chi_0 - \overline{\Phi}(t_0, t_1) \mathcal{H}_1$ (b) $u_{i}(t) = -B(t) TI(t, Q, t_{i}) x(t)$ TT(t) = -A(t) TT(t, Q, t) - TT(t, Q, t) A(t) - L(t)+ TILE, Q, t,) B (t) B(t) TILE, Q, t,) $\Pi(t_1, Q, t_1) = Q$ and Lits = Lits + t (c) $u_2(t) = -B(t)T(t, K_1, t_1)Z(t) + V(t)$ TT = - A'TT - TTA + TTBB TT = $\overline{\Pi}(t_1, K_1, t_1) = K_1$ and V such that it minimizes $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = (Att) - \mathcal{B}(t) \mathcal{B}(t) \mathcal{T}(t, \mathbf{x}_{i}, t_{i}) \mathbf{x}(t)$ $\mathbf{x}(t_{0}) = \mathbf{x}_{0}; \quad \mathbf{x}(t_{i}) = \mathbf{x}_{i}$ $= \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{0}$ I vier vordo for - 5-

Then, there exists a vector function \$10, (the co-state Such that $\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & -BB' \\ -L & -A' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ p \end{pmatrix}$ 26to) = Xo and u(tt) = -B(tt)p(tt)Prof (a) Let p(t) = I (to, t) ; Then p = - A (t) p with Po = 3 (from page 1 of this lecture) Substituting up in the state equation, we get $\dot{x} = Ax - BB'_{p}$ Picking L=0 ensures that p=- A'p = - L x - A p This completes the foreign of part (a). (b) Let $p(t) = TT(t, Q, t_1) \times (t)$ Then substituting u, in the state equation we get, $\ddot{x} = Ax - BB' \neq V$ We need to show $\ddot{p} = -Lx - A'p$ Differentiate TT(t, Q, t,) x(t) to get, $\dot{p} = TT x + TT \dot{x} = (-A'TT - TTA - L) x + TTBB'TT + TT(Ax = BB'TTx)$

= - A'p - Loc The boundary condition on TT turns into $p(t_i) = Q_X(t_i)$ (c) Left as an exercise Romark we post pone documin of the infinite horizon optimal control problem and associated algebraic Riccati equations. Using the Commical Equations From proof of part (a) of the Theorem, it is claim that solving (c) for (xo, Po), initial conditions, sweeps out a bundle' of state / co-state trajectories as p is varied. Only p. s.t. W(to ti) po = x - Itoti) x, will produce trajectory / trajectorios satisfying and-point conditions. End-point error anowated to a given to can be used to correct to - Similar remarks apply to conser (b) & (c). Analogues of (c) play a central note in general optimal control problems . (not necessarily linear system, with quadratic cost functionals), We will uncounter these lator. -7-