

A little detour on measure etc

< see Real Analysis by H. Royden for full scoop >

- (a) Any set Ω has associated to it the set 2^Ω of all subsets of Ω . Consider a subcollection $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^\Omega$ of subsets of Ω satisfying
- (i) $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$
 - (ii) $A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A^c$ (the complement of A in Ω) belongs to \mathcal{A}
 - (iii) $A_n \in \mathcal{A}, n=1, 2, \dots \Rightarrow \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathcal{A}$

Such a collection \mathcal{A} is called a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω . Clearly 2^Ω itself is a σ -algebra. So is the (very small) collection $\{\phi, \Omega\}$ where ϕ denotes the empty set.

- (b) A measurable space is a pair (Ω, \mathcal{A}) , where \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω .
- (c) A set function $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty) \cup \{+\infty\}$ is a measure if

$$\mu \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$$

for A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots a sequence in \mathcal{A} such that $A_i \cap A_j = \phi$ for $i \neq j$

If further $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$, then we say that it is a finite measure.

It is clear that $A \subset B \Rightarrow \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$

(d) Suppose $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$ are two measurable spaces. Then

$f: \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ is said to be a measurable mapping, provided $B \in \mathcal{A}_2 \Rightarrow f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}_1$.

(e) Given any $\mathcal{Q} \subset 2^\Omega$, there is a σ -algebra \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{A} \subset 2^\Omega$ and furthermore it is the smallest σ -algebra with this property. We refer to it as the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{Q} , often denoted as $\mathcal{A} = \sigma[\mathcal{Q}]$.

(f) Suppose $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^1$ and $\mathcal{Q} =$ collection of all open intervals of \mathbb{R}^1 . Then the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{Q} is referred to as the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^1)$. It can be shown that every interval, open, closed or semi-open, in \mathbb{R}^1 is in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ and is thus a Borel subset of \mathbb{R}^1 .

(g) We say that $f: \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ is a measurable function if

$$f^{-1}(B_2) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^1)$$

whenever $B_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^1)$

(h) Any continuous function is automatically a measurable function.

(i) There is a unique measure, the Lebesgue measure $\mu: \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^1) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\mu([a, b]) = b - a = \mu([a, b]) = \mu((a, b))$.

Lebesgue measure is clearly not a finite measure.

(j) A function $f: \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ is said to be simple if it is of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} c_i \chi_{[x_i, x_{i+1})}(x)$$

where $-\infty < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_N < \infty$, N finite, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}^1$ and

$$\chi_{[\alpha, b)}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \alpha \leq x < b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(k) The integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \mu(dx)$

where $\mu(\cdot)$ is the Lebesgue measure can be defined for simple functions as

$$I(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} c_i (x_{i+1} - x_i)$$

Theorem If $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of simple functions,

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(n)-1} c_i^{(n)} \chi_{[x_i^{(n)}, x_{i+1}^{(n)})}(x)$$

and similarly

$$g_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(n)-1} d_i^{(n)} \chi_{[y_i^{(n)}, y_{i+1}^{(n)})}(x)$$

and $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$, $g_n \leq g_{n+1}$ $n=1, 2, \dots$

and $f_n \rightarrow f$ and $g_n \rightarrow g$,
as $n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(f_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(g_n) \quad \square$$

Using the above result and using the fact that for each measurable function f there exists $\{f_n\}$ a sequence of simple functions, $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can define unambiguously

$$I(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(f_n)$$

for any measurable function. This is the Lebesgue integral of f .

(e) Two functions $f_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $i=1, 2$
are said to be equivalent $f_1 \sim f_2$
if

$$\mu(\{x : f_1(x) \neq f_2(x)\}) = 0.$$

We denote the equivalence class of f to be $[f]$.

The spaces we define below are all spaces of equivalence classes of measurable

functions. To avoid awkward notation, we will continue to use f when we really mean $[f]$.

(m) The L_p spaces: $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$L_p [0, \infty) = \left\{ f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ measurable, } \int_0^{\infty} |f(x)|^p \mu(dx) < \infty \right\}$$

$$L_{\infty} [0, \infty) = \left\{ f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ measurable, } \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{[0, \infty)} |f(x)| < \infty \right\}$$

< here $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{[0, \infty)} f = \sup_{[0, \infty) - A} f$ where A is

a suitable set of measure zero >

Theorem

- (i) L_p with $\|f\|_p = \left(\int_0^{\infty} |f(x)|^p \mu(dx) \right)^{1/p}$ is complete.
- (ii) L_{∞} with $\|f\|_{\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{[0, \infty)} |f(x)|$ is complete.
- (iii) L_2 is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^{\infty} f(t) g(t) \mu(dt)$$

and $\|f\|_2 = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}$