

ENEE 661 Nonlinear Control Systems  
Lecture 6 (part ii)

PSK  
04.10.08

We now consider the proof of a technical lemma used in the main theorem for stability of time varying systems (part (i) of this lecture)

Lemma 1 Let  $\dot{y} = -\alpha(y)$ ,  $y(t_0) = y_0$  and  $\alpha(\cdot)$  a class  $KL$  function. Assume further that  $\alpha(\cdot)$  is locally Lipschitz. Suppose  $\alpha$  is defined on  $[t_0, a]$ . Then, for all  $0 \leq y_0 \leq a$ , the equation has a unique solution  $y(t)$  defined  $\forall t \geq t_0$ . Moreover  $y(t) = \sigma(y_0, t-t_0)$  where  $\sigma$  is a class  $KL$  function on  $[a, a] \times [0, \infty)$ .

Proof  $\alpha(\cdot)$  is locally Lipschitz  $\Rightarrow \exists!$  solution  $\forall y_0 \geq 0$ . Since  $\dot{y}(t) < 0$  whenever  $y(t) > 0$ , the solution  $y(t) \leq y_0 \quad \forall t \geq t_0$ . Therefore the solution is bounded and can be extended  $\forall t \geq t_0$ .

By integration

$$\eta(y) \triangleq - \int_{y_0}^y \frac{dx}{\alpha(x)} = t - t_0$$

gives the sojourn time map (i.e. how long it takes to get to  $y$  from  $y_0$ ) defined on  $(0, y_0)$

$$\text{Let } \eta(b) \triangleq \eta(y) \quad 0 < b < a.$$

$\eta(\cdot)$  is strictly decreasing, differentiable on  $(0, a)$ . Moreover  $\eta(y) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $y \rightarrow 0$ .

To see this, note that  $y(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\dot{y}(t) < 0$ , for  $y(t) > 0$ . This can only happen asymptotically as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ; i.e. it cannot happen in finite time without violating uniqueness.

Notice that since  $b < a$

$$\eta(a) = - \int_b^a \frac{dx}{\alpha(x)} = -c$$

for some  $c > 0$

We have  $\eta: (0, a) \rightarrow (-c, \infty)$

and  $\eta^{-1}: (-c, \infty) \rightarrow (0, a)$  is also well defined, since  $\eta$  is a strictly decreasing function of its argument.

Then, for  $y_0 > 0$ ,

$$y(t) = \eta^{-1}(\eta(y_0) + t - t_0)$$

and

$$y(t) \equiv 0 \quad \text{if } y_0 = 0.$$

$$\text{Define } \sigma(r, s) = \begin{cases} \eta^{-1}(\eta(r) + s) & r > 0 \\ 0 & r = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then  $y(t) = \sigma(y_0, t - t_0) + t \geq t_0, y_0 > 0$ .

$\sigma$  is continuous since both  $\eta$  and  $\eta^{-1}$  are continuous &  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \eta^{-1}(x) = 0$

For fixed  $s$ , since  $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial r} \sigma(r, s)$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \{ \eta^{-1} (\eta(r) + s) \}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha(\sigma(r, s))}{\alpha(r)} > 0,$$

it is strictly increasing in  $r$ .

For fixed  $r$ , since  $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s} \sigma(r, s)$

$$= -\alpha(\sigma(r, s)) < 0$$

it is strictly decreasing in  $s$ .

Furthermore,  $\sigma(r, s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$

since  $\eta^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  as its argument  $\rightarrow \infty$ .

So we have shown  $\sigma$  is KL



Examples: (a)  $\alpha(y) = -ky$ ,  $k > 0$ .  $\sigma(r, s) = re^{-ks}$

(b)  $\alpha(y) = -ky^2$ ,  $k > 0$

$$\eta(y) = - \int_b^y \frac{dx}{kx^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k} \left( \frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{b} \right)$$

and

$$\sigma(r, s) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{r} + ks}$$

In the setting of linear time varying systems, some of the ideas concerning uniform stability coalesce as in the following theorem

### Theorem 2

Let  $\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t)$  be a linear system with piecewise continuous coefficient matrix  $A(t)$ . Then, the origin is uniformly asymptotically stable iff

$$-\gamma(t-t_0)$$

$$\|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \leq k e$$

for

some  $k > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$ .

[ i.e uniform ~~as~~ asymptotic stability is equivalent to exponential stability in linear systems ].

### Proof

Sufficiency : trivial.

Necessity : there exists  $\beta(\cdot)$  of class  $KL$  such that

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \beta(\|x(t_0)\|, t-t_0) \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \\ \forall x(t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \triangleq \max_{\|y\|=1} \|\Phi(t, t_0)y\|$$

$$\leq \max_{\|y\|=1} \beta(\|y\|, t-t_0)$$

( $\because$  solution starting at  $y$  at  $t_0$  is  $\Phi(t, t_0)y$ )

$$= \beta(1, t-t_0)$$

Since  $\beta(1, s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists  $T > 0$  such that  $\beta(1, t) \leq \frac{1}{e} + t \geq T$ . For any  $t \geq t_0$ , let  $N$  be the smallest positive integer s.t.  $t \leq t_0 + NT$ . Divide the interval,  $[t_0, t_0 + (N-1)T]$  into  $(N-1)$  equal subintervals of width  $T$  each. Using the transition property of  $\Phi(t, t_0)$  we can write,

$$\underline{\Phi}(t, t_0) = \underline{\Phi}(t, t_0 + (N-1)T) \cdot \underline{\Phi}(t_0 + (N-1)T, t_0 + (N-2)T) \cdots \underline{\Phi}(t_0 + T, t_0)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\underline{\Phi}(t, t_0)\| &\leq \|\underline{\Phi}(t, t_0 + (N-1)T)\| \cdot \|T\| \|\underline{\Phi}(t_0 + kT, t_0 + (k-1)T)\|_{k=1}^{N-1} \\ &\leq \beta(1, 0) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{e}\right)^{N-1} \\ &\leq e \beta(1, 0) e^{-\frac{t-t_0}{T}} \\ &= k e^{-\delta(t-t_0)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } k \triangleq e \beta(1, 0); \quad \delta = \frac{1}{T}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark. For time varying linear systems there are no simple tests based on eigenvalues to ascertain stability. One needs to use this 'theorem'. However in case  $A$  is periodic in  $t$ , the Floquet-Lyapunov

Theorem does give a test for Lyapunov Stability, namely : if  $T = \text{period of } A$  & all eigenvalues of  $\Phi(T, 0)$  are inside the open unit disk  $\{z : |z| < 1\}$  in the complex plane, then we have uniformly asymptotic stability  
 < See Theorems 4 and Corollary 5 below)

In the remainder of this section of the notes we discuss two topics of importance — (i) the existence of Lyapunov functions for systems that demonstrate Asymptotic Stability properties — the so-called Lyapunov theorems ; (ii) the indirect method of Lyapunov to assess stability of <sup>solutions of</sup> nonlinear (time varying) systems by assessing the stability of zero solutions of corresponding (time-varying) linearizations.

Theorem 3 Let  $x=0$  be an uniformly asymptotically stable equilibrium of  $\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t)$ . Let  $A(t)$  be continuous,  $\|A(t)\|_2 \leq L + t \geq 0$ . Let  $Q(t)$  be continuous, symmetric positive definite such that, for suitable constants  $c_3$  and  $c_4$

$$0 < c_3 I \leq Q(t) \leq c_4 I \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Then there exists a unique symmetric positive definite  $P(t)$  satisfying

$$-\dot{P} = A^T P + P A + Q$$

and  $P > 0$  is bounded above and below,

$$c_1 I \leq P(t) \leq c_2 I \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

for suitable constants  $c_1$  &  $c_2$ . Hence  $V(t, x) = x^T P(t) x$  is a time varying Lyapunov function for the given linear system, in the sense of Theorem 1.

Proof: First recall that the notation  $a \leq M \leq b$

means

$$ay^T y \leq y^T My \leq by^T y \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a \leq \frac{y^T My}{y^T y} \leq b$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a \leq \lambda_{\min}(M) \leq \lambda_{\max}(M) \leq b.$$

Now define ( $\bar{\Phi} = \text{transition matrix of } A$ )

$$P(t) = \int_t^\infty \bar{\Phi}^T(z, +) Q(z) \bar{\Phi}(z, +) dz$$

It is easy to check that  $P(t)$  is the only solution of

$$-\dot{P} = A^T P + PA + Q$$

( $A, P, Q$  all depend on time  $t$ ).

Let  $\phi(\tau, t, x)$  denote the solution to the given linear system starting at  $x$  at time  $t$ . Then by linearity,

$$\phi(\tau, t, x) = \underline{\Phi}(\tau, t)x$$

Then,

$$V(t, x) = x^T P(t)x$$

$$= x^T \left( \int_t^\infty \underline{\Phi}^T(\tau, t) Q(\tau) \underline{\Phi}(\tau, t) d\tau \right) x$$

$$= \int_t^\infty \phi^T(\tau, t, x) Q(\tau) \phi(\tau, t, x) d\tau$$

$$\leq \int_t^\infty c_4 \|\phi(\tau, t, x)\|_2^2 d\tau \quad (\text{by hyp. on } Q)$$

$$\leq \int_t^\infty c_4 \|\underline{\Phi}(\tau, t)\|_2^2 \|x\|_2^2 d\tau$$

$$\leq \int_t^\infty c_4 \cdot k^2 e^{-2\delta(\tau-t)} \|x\|_2^2 d\tau \quad (\text{by thm 2 and hyp. on system})$$

$$= \frac{k^2 c_4}{2\delta} \|x\|_2^2 \triangleq c_2 \|x\|_2^2$$

$\forall t \geq 0$

are early to move

problem

9

PSK  
04/10/00

On the other hand, since  $\|A(t)\|_2 \leq L + t_{\geq 0}$ , by hypothesis, one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\phi(z, t, x)\|_2^2 &\Rightarrow -2L \|\phi(z, t, x)\|_2^2 \\ \Rightarrow \|\phi(z, t, x)\|_2^2 &\geq \|x\|_2^2 e^{-2L(z-t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} V(t, x) &\geq \int_t^\infty c_3 \|\phi(z, t, x)\|_2^2 dz \\ &\geq \int_t^\infty c_3 e^{-2L(z-t)} \|x\|_2^2 dz \\ &= \frac{c_3}{2L} \|x\|_2^2 = c_1 \|x\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $c_1 \|x\|_2^2 \leq V(t, x) = x^T P(t)x \leq c_2 \|x\|_2^2$

with

$$c_1 = \frac{c_3}{2L} \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 = \frac{k^2 c_4}{2\sigma}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\dot{V} = \frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \cdot A(t)x$$

$$= x^T (P + A^T P + PA)x$$

$$= -x^T Q(t)x$$

$$\leq -c_3 \|x\|_2^2$$

Thus  $V(t, x) = x^T P(t)x$  is a time-dependent Lyapunov function in the sense of Theorem 1 satisfying

$$\alpha_1(\|x\|_2) \leq V(t, x) \leq \alpha_2(\|x\|)$$

$$\dot{V}(t, x) \leq -\alpha_3(\|x\|)$$

where the class K functions  $\alpha_i$  are given by

$$\alpha_i(y) = c_i (y)^2$$

with  $c_1 = \frac{c_3}{2L}$ ;  $c_2 = \frac{c_4 k^2}{2\delta}$ ;  $c_3$  given



Remark The formula

$$V(t, x) = \int_t^\infty \phi(x, t, z) Q(z) \phi(z, t, x) dz$$

suggests a possible path to converse Lyapunov theorems for nonlinear systems — let  $\phi(z, t, x)$  be the solution starting at  $x$  at  $t$  for the nonlinear system.

### Theorem 4 (Periodic Linear Systems)

Consider  $\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t)$ ,  $A(t)$  piecewise continuous,  $x(t_0) = x_0$ ,  $A(t+T) = A(t) \quad \forall t$

Let  $\Phi$  denote the transition matrix,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t, t_0) = A(t) \Phi(t, t_0)$$

$$\Phi(t_0, t_0) = I$$

Then

$$(a) \quad \Phi(t+T, t_0+T) = \Phi(t, t_0)$$

(b) There is a constant matrix  $R$  and a  $T$ -periodic nonsingular matrix function  $P(t)$  such that

$$\Phi(t, t_0) = P^{-1}(t) e^{R(t-t_0)} P(t_0)$$

(c)  $0$  is uniformly (asymptotically) stable for the given system iff it is uniformly (asymptotically) stable for the system

$$\dot{z} = Rz.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof : (b)} \quad \Phi(t+T, t_0+T) &= I + \int_{t_0+T}^{t+T} A(\sigma_1) d\sigma_1 \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0+T}^{t+T} \int_{t_0}^{\sigma_1} A(\sigma_1) A(\sigma_2) d\sigma_2 d\sigma_1 \\ &\quad + \dots \end{aligned}$$

PSK  
04/06/00

$$= \mathbb{1} + \int_{t_0+T}^{t+T} A(\sigma_1 + T) d\sigma_1 + \int_{t_0+T}^{t+T} \int_{t_0+T}^{\sigma_2} A(\sigma_1 + T) A(\sigma_2 + T) d\sigma_2 d\sigma_1 + \dots$$

(by  $T$ -periodicity of  $A$ )

$$= \mathbb{1} + \int_{t_0}^t A(\sigma_1) d\sigma_1 + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^{\sigma_2} A(\sigma_1) A(\sigma_2) d\sigma_2 d\sigma_1 + \dots$$

(by change of variables  $\sigma_1 \mapsto \sigma_1 + T$ )

$$= \bar{\Phi}(t, t_0)$$

(b)  $\bar{\Phi}(T, 0)$  is nonsingular and hence has a (possibly, complex matrix) logarithm  $R_T$ , i.e.

$$\bar{\Phi}(T, 0) = e^{R_T}$$

$$\text{Let } P(t)^{-1} \cong \bar{\Phi}(t, 0) e^{-Rt} \quad (P(0) = \mathbb{1})$$

$$P(t+T)^{-1} = \bar{\Phi}(t+T, 0) e^{-R(t+T)}$$

$$= \bar{\Phi}(t+T, T) \bar{\Phi}(T, 0) e^{-RT - Rt}$$

$$= \bar{\Phi}(t, 0) e^{-Rt} \quad (\text{by (a)})$$

$$= P(t)^{-1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } \bar{\Phi}(t, t_0) &= \bar{\Phi}(t, 0) \bar{\Phi}(0, t_0) \\ &= \bar{\Phi}(t, 0) (\bar{\Phi}(t_0, 0))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

PSK  
04/10/00

$$= P^{-1}(t) e^{+Rt} (P(t_0) e^{+Rt_0})^{-1}$$

$$= P^{-1}(t) e^{+Rt} e^{-Rt_0} \cancel{P(t_0)}$$

$$= P^{-1}(t) e^{+R(t-t_0)} \cancel{P(t_0)}$$

(c) Let  $\bar{x}(t) = P(t)x(t)$

$$\dot{\bar{x}} = \dot{P}x + Px$$

$$= ((P^{-1})^{-1}) \bar{x} + Px$$

$$= -P \cancel{P^{-1}} P x + Px$$

$$= -P(\bar{x}(t_0)e^{-Rt}) Px + Px$$

$$= -\left(P(t_0)A(t)\bar{x}(t_0)e^{-Rt}, P(t)\right)$$

$$+ P(t)\cancel{P}(t_0)e^{-Rt}(-R)P)x + PAx$$

$$= -PAx + (+R)Px + PAx$$

$$= +R\bar{x}$$

$P$  has piecewise continuous derivatives on  $(-\infty, \infty)$

$\dot{P} = RP - PA$ ;  $P$  and  $\dot{P}$  are bounded on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  because there are piecewise continuous and  $T$ -periodic;  $\exists m_1, m_2 > 0$  s.t.  $0 < m_1 \leq |\det P(t)| \leq m_2$  by these properties.

T-SK  
04/10/00

$$\text{Hence } \|z(t)\| < c, \|x(t)\|$$

$$\text{and } \|x(t)\| < c_2 \|z(t)\|$$

$$\text{where } c_1 = \max_{[t, t+T]} \|P(t)\|$$

$$c_2 = \max_{[t, t+T]} \|P'(t)\|.$$

From these two inequalities it follows that all the stability properties of  $z$  carry over to those of  $x$  & vice versa.



Corollary 5

Let  $A(t+T) = A(t)$  be continuous and  $T$ -periodic. Let  $x=0$  be uniformly, asymptotically stable equilibrium of  $\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t)$ .

Then there is a Lyapunov-function

$$V = V(t, x) = V(t+T, x) = x^T P(t)x$$

satisfying

$$-\dot{P} = A^T P + PA + Q$$

for each  $Q$   $T$ -periodic and

$$0 < c_3 \leq Q(t) \leq c_4 \quad .$$

Proof Essentially same construction as in Theorem 3  $\square$

PSK  
04/10/00

Example (Floquet-Lyapunov)

$$A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 + \cos(t) & 0 \\ 0 & -2 + \cos(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

$A(t)$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(2\pi, 0) &= \begin{pmatrix} \exp\left(\int_0^{2\pi} (-1 + \cos(t)) dt\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp\left(\int_0^{2\pi} (-2 + \cos(t)) dt\right) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2\pi} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-4\pi} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

has both eigenvalues in the open unit disk.  
 $\Rightarrow 0$  is uniformly asymptotically stable.