

Aim of lecture on Wednesday, March 12, 2014

To outline steps in the proof of the Lagrange multiplier theorem.

Definition Let  $X$  be a normed linear space and  $g_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i=1, 2, 3, \dots, n$  be Fréchet differentiable functionals on  $X$ . We say that  $x_0 \in X$  is a regular point of the constraint set

$$\Omega = \left\{ x \in X : g_i(x) = 0, i=1, 2, \dots, n \right\}$$

if  $x_0 \in \Omega$  (i.e.  $g_i(x_0) = 0$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ), and the Fréchet derivatives

$$f_i = (\mathbb{D}g_i)_{x_0} \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

are linearly independent functionals.

[note : this necessarily requires  $n \leq \dim X$ ]

## Implicit Function Theorem

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  have a Fréchet derivative  $(Df)_{(\theta, x)}$  for each  $(\theta, x) \in S$

an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $(Df)_{(\theta, x)}$  is continuous in  $(\theta, x)$ .

Let  $(\theta_0, x_0)$  be such that

$f(\theta_0, x_0) = 0$ . and the partial Fréchet

derivative  $(D_2 f)_{(\theta_0, x_0)}$  is nonsingular.

Then there exist open neighborhoods,  $U$  of  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $V$  of  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that, for each  $\theta \in U$ , the equation

$$f(\theta, x) = 0$$

has a unique solution  $x \in V$ . Moreover the solution can be given as

$$x = g(\theta), \quad \underline{\underline{=}}$$

so that  $f(\theta, g(\theta)) = 0 \quad \theta \in U,$

with  $g$  Fréchet differentiable near  $\theta_0$   
and  $(Dg)_{\theta_0}$  is continuous in  $\theta$   
at  $\theta = \theta_0$ .

Remark This theorem can be extended  
to Banach spaces.

Remark In the proof of Theorem 3  
(leading up to the Lagrange multiplier  
theorem), we use a case with  $m=1$ ,  
and  $n$  = the number of constraint  
functionals defining  $\Omega$ .

Theorem 1 (Lecture 5(b), page 3).

- Let  $X$  be a vector space. Let  $f_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  be a set of linearly independent linear functionals. Then there exist vectors  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$  such that the matrix  $[f_i(x_j)]$  is nonsingular.

Remark Here, necessarily,  $n \leq \dim(X)$ . The proof of Theorem 1 is an argument by mathematical induction.

The vectors  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  obtained in Theorem 1 are linearly independent, see Lecture 5(b), page 5.

Theorem 2 (Lecture 5(b), page 6)

Let  $f, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  be linear functionals on  $X$ . Suppose, for each  $x \in X$  such that  $f_i(x) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have  $f(x) = 0$ .

[In short hand  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker}(f_i) \subset \text{Ker}(f)$ .]

Then,  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i$  for some  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Remark

In Lecture 5(b) pages 6-7, a proof is given assuming that the functionals  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  are linearly independent. There is no loss of generality in doing this.

More seriously, the proof requires  $\dim(X) = m < \infty$ . In that case  $\dim(X^*) = m$  and  $m \geq n$ .

Developing a proof for  $X$ , possibly infinite dimensional, is left as an exercise, with a hint.

Theorem 3

Consider a functional  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\Omega = \{x \in X : g_i(x) = 0, i=1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be a constraint set defined by the functionals  $g_i, i=1, 2, \dots, n$ .

If  $x_0$  is an extremum of  $g$  subject to the constraints and if  $x_0$  is a regular point of  $\Omega$ , then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker}((Dg_i)_{x_0}) \subset \text{Ker}((Dg)_{x_0}).$$

Remark

A proof is given in lecture 5(c) pages 1-3.

A cleaned up and more explanatory <sup>proof</sup> write-up  
in a set of Updated Version notes  
(also at the class web-site) is available.

### Theorem [Lagrange multiplier]

If  $x_0 \in \Omega$  is an extremum of  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
subject to constraint  $\Omega$  and if  
 $x_0$  is a regular point of  $\Omega$ , then  
there exists scalars  $\lambda_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$   
such that

$$\left( D \left( f + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g_i \right) \right)_{x_0} = 0.$$

We call  $\lambda_i$  the Lagrange multipliers.  $\square$

Remark Proof follows very directly from  
Theorem 3 and Theorem 2.