

## NOTE

# Linear Codes with Exponentially Many Light Vectors

Alexei Ashikhmin<sup>1</sup> and Alexander Barg<sup>1</sup>

*Bell Laboratories, Lucent Technologies, 600 Mountain Avenue, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974*  
E-mail: [aea@research.bell-labs.com](mailto:aea@research.bell-labs.com), [abarg@research.bell-labs.com](mailto:abarg@research.bell-labs.com)

and

Serge Vlăduț

*Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy, UPR 9016 du CNRS, Luminy, Case 907,  
13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France*  
E-mail: [vladut@iml.univ-mrs.fr](mailto:vladut@iml.univ-mrs.fr)

*Communicated by V. Pless*

Received January 3, 2001

G. Kalai and N. Linial (1995, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 41, 1467–1472) put forward the following conjecture: Let  $\{C_n\}$  be a sequence of binary linear codes of distance  $d_n$  and  $A_{d_n}$  be the number of vectors of weight  $d_n$  in  $C_n$ . Then  $\log_2 A_{d_n} = o(n)$ . We disprove this by constructing a family of linear codes from geometric Goppa codes in which the number of vectors of minimum weight grows exponentially with the length. © 2001 Academic Press

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $C$  be a code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of length  $n$  and distance  $d = d(C)$ . The (Hamming) distance distribution of the code is an  $(n+1)$ -vector  $(A_0 = 1, A_1, \dots, A_n)$ , where  $A_w = A_w(C) := (\#C)^{-1} |\{(x, x') \in C^2 : d(x, x') = w\}|$ . Of course  $A_w = 0$  if  $1 \leq w \leq d-1$ . If  $C$  is linear then  $A_w$  is the number of vectors of weight  $w$  in it.

Let  $\{C_{n_i}\}$  be a family of binary linear codes of growing length  $n_i$  and let  $d_{n_i} = d(C_{n_i})$  (below we omit the subscript  $i$ ). Kalai and Linial [2] conjectured that for any such family the number  $A_{d_{n_i}}$  is subexponential in  $n$ , i.e., that for any  $\alpha > 0$  there is a number  $N(\alpha)$  such that for all  $n > N(\alpha)$  we

<sup>1</sup> Research supported in part by Binational (USA–Israel) Science Foundation under Grant 1999099.

have  $\log A_{d_n} \leq \alpha n$  (if the base of logarithms is missing, it is 2 throughout). They also made a similar conjecture about unrestricted (i.e., not necessarily linear) codes and wrote, "The [asymptotic] distance distribution near the minimum distance remains a great mystery."

While we now know a little more about the distance distribution of codes for larger  $w$  [1, 3], this claim is still very much true. The above conjectures, however, are not as will be shown below. Let

$$E_q(\delta) := H(\delta) - \frac{\log q}{\sqrt{q}-1} - \log \frac{q}{q-1},$$

where  $H(y) = -y \log y - (1-y) \log(1-y)$ . For  $q \geq 49$  the function  $E_q(\delta)$  has two zeros  $0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2 < (q-1)/q$  and is positive for  $\delta_1 < \delta < \delta_2$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $q = 2^{2s}$ ,  $s = 3, 4, \dots$  be fixed. Then for any  $\delta_1 < \delta < \delta_2$  there exists a sequence of binary linear codes  $\{C_n\}$  of length  $n = qN$ ,  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and distance  $d_n = n\delta/2$  such that*

$$\log A_{d_n} \geq NE_q(\delta) - o(N). \quad (1)$$

## 2. PROOF

We will first construct a sequence of  $q$ -ary linear (geometric Goppa) codes. Background information on coding theory and geometry of curves can be looked up in [5].

Let  $X$  be a (smooth projective absolutely irreducible) curve of genus  $g$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $q \geq 49$  is an even power of a prime. Let  $N = N(X) := \#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$  be the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of  $X$  and suppose that  $X$  is such that  $N \geq g(\sqrt{q}-1)$  (e.g.,  $X$  is a suitable modular curve). The set of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational effective divisors of degree  $a \geq 0$  on  $X$  is denoted by  $Div_a^+(X)$ . Recall that  $Div_a^+(X)$  is a finite set. For  $D \in Div_a^+(X)$  let  $L(D)$  be the corresponding linear system (the linear space of rational functions associated with  $D$ ). Denote by  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(D)$  the geometric Goppa code on  $X$  defined by the triple  $(X, D, X(\mathbb{F}_q))$  in the usual way.  $\mathcal{C}$  is a linear code of length  $N$ , dimension  $\dim(\mathcal{C}) \geq a - g + 1$ , and distance  $d(\mathcal{C}) \geq N - a$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\delta = (N-a)/N$  satisfy the inequality  $\delta_1 < \delta < \delta_2$ . Then there exists  $D \in Div_a^+(X)$  such that the corresponding geometric Goppa code  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(D)$  has the minimum distance  $d = N - a = \delta N$  and for the number  $A_d$  of vectors of weight  $d$  we have*

$$\log A_d \geq NE_q(\delta) - o(N).$$

*Proof.* The proof follows the ideas of [6]. We set for an integer  $r \in [0, a]$

$$C_{a,r} := \left\{ D \in \text{Div}_a^+(X) : \# \left( \text{Supp } D \cap X(\mathbb{F}_q) \right) = r \right\}.$$

We denote by  $J_a = J_a(X)$  the set of (linear) classes of degree  $a$  divisors. Thus,  $J_a$  is the quotient space of  $\text{Div}_a^+(X)$  under the linear equivalence of divisors. Recall that since  $\text{Div}_a^+(X)$  is non-empty,  $J_a$  is in a bijection with the set  $J_X(\mathbb{F}_q)$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points on the Jacobian variety of  $X$ .

The following lemma from [4, Lemma A2] (see also [6]) is a key ingredient in the proof.

LEMMA 1.

$$\log_q \#J_a = g \left( 1 + (\sqrt{q} - 1) \log_q \frac{q}{q-1} \right) - o(g). \quad (2)$$

Further, it is obvious that  $\#C_{a,a} = \binom{N}{a}$  and so

$$\log \#C_{a,a} = NH \left( \frac{a}{N} \right) - o(g). \quad (3)$$

Recall that the fibers of the canonical projection

$$\pi_a: \text{Div}_a^+(X) \rightarrow J_a(X)$$

are the projective spaces  $\mathbb{P}(D) = \mathbb{P}(L(D))$ , which are projectivizations of linear systems  $L(D)$ . For any  $D \in \text{Div}_a^+(X)$  the number of words of weight  $d = N - a$  in the code  $\mathcal{C}(D)$  equals

$$A_d(D) = (q-1) \# \left( \pi_a^{-1}(\pi_a(D)) \cap C_{a,a} \right).$$

Thus we have

$$A_d^* := \max \{ A_d(D) : D \in \text{Div}_a^+(X) \} \geq \frac{\#C_{a,a}}{\#J_a}.$$

Taking logarithms and using (2), (3) we obtain Theorem 2. ■

It remains to pass to binary codes. For  $q = 2^{2s}$  take the binary linear  $[n = q - 1, n - 2s, 3]$  Hamming code and consider its orthogonal code, i.e., the simplex code. For simplicity let us augment each vector in it with a zero coordinate. This results in a binary linear code  $S$  of length  $q$ , dimension  $2s$

and distance  $q/2$  in which every nonzero vector has Hamming weight  $q/2$ . Establish a linear bijection between  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $S$  and for a vector  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  replace every coordinate by its image. We obtain a linear binary code  $C_n$  of length  $n = qN$  and minimum distance  $d_n := qN\delta/2$ . Note that pairwise distances in  $\mathcal{C}$  change by a factor  $q/2$  upon passing to  $C_n$ , and so vectors of weight  $d_n$  in  $C_n$  are obtained from vectors of weight  $d$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and only from them. Together with Theorem 2 this completes the proof of Theorem 1.

*Remarks.* (1) From the definition of  $E_q(\delta)$  we see that the interval  $(\delta_1, \delta_2)$  for large  $q$  is arbitrarily close to  $(0, 1)$ . Hence the result of Theorem 1 is valid for all values of  $d_n/n$  between 0 and  $1/2$ .

(2) There are many possible choices for the code  $S$  in the final step. For instance, one could take  $S = \{e_i, 1 \leq i \leq q\}$ , where  $e_i$  is a binary  $q$ -vector with  $e_{ij} = \delta_{i,j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, q$ . Then the distances in  $\mathcal{C}$  are doubled, and the qualitative argument of the proof is preserved. This gives a sequence of nonlinear codes  $C_n$ .

(3) The rate of the code  $C_n$  equals  $2Rs/q$ , where for large  $N$  the value  $R > 0$  is given in the main theorem of [6].

(4) Upper bounds on the *average* weight spectrum of  $\mathcal{C}$  over the choice of  $D \in \text{Div}_a^+(X)$  for maximal curves were obtained in [7].

## REFERENCES

1. A. Ashikhmin, A. Barg, and S. Litsyn, Estimates of the distance distribution of codes and designs, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **47** (2001), 1050–1061.
2. G. Kalai and N. Linial, On the distance distribution of codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **41** (1995), 1467–1472.
3. S. Litsyn, New upper bounds on error exponents, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **45** (1999), 385–398.
4. M. Rosenbloom and M. Tsfasman, Multiplicative lattices in global fields, *Invent. Math.* **101** (1990), 687–696.
5. M. Tsfasman and S. Vlăduț, “Algebraic-Geometric Codes,” Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1991.
6. S. Vlăduț, An exhaustion bound for algebro-geometric “modular” codes, *Problemy Peredachi Informatsii* **23** (1987), 28–41.
7. S. Vlăduț, Two remarks on the spectra of algebraic geometry codes, in “Arithmetic, Geometry and Coding Theory” (R. Pellikaan, M. Perret, and S. G. Vlăduț, Eds.), pp. 253–261, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.